ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್.—ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿ ಕಾಲೇಜುಗಳು ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರ ಸಂಬಳಕ್ಕೂ ತುಕೋಳ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್‌ನವರು ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸಂಬಳಕ್ಕೂ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸ ಇದೆಯೇ ಹೇಗೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತಾವು ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ, ಒಂದುವೇಳೆ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಭರ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಸರಿಯಾದ ವೇತನವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

Sri A. R. BADARINARAYANA.—This is a matter for consideration and it is a suggestion for action.

CONTRIBUTION IN RESPECT OF WORKS EXECUTED BY THE TALUK DEVELOPMENT BOARDS

204. Sri D. B. KALMANKAR (Afzalpur).—

Will the Minister for Community Development and Social Welfare be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether the public has to contribute 12½ per cent as their contribution in respect of works to be executed by Taluk Development Boards ;

(*b*) whether the Government is aware that such contribution is not actually paid by local public or Panchayat but deducted from the bill of the Contractor ;

(*c*) whether the Government is aware that such practice of deduction from the bill of the contrtctor results in inefficient execution of works and also resulting in delay in completing the works ;

(*d*) the number of such works, pending execution in the State due to above reasons (Talukwise figures may be furnished) ;

(*e*) whether there are any proposals before Government to abolish the system of contribution by public and Panchayat in view of those facts and resolutions passed by various Taluk Boards and District Development Councils to abolish contribution ?

Sri M. MALLIKARJUNASWAMY (Minister for Community Development and Social Welfare).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) Does not arise.

(*d*) List of works pending for want of contribution from the people is appended.

(*e*) No.

(SRI M. MALLIKARJUNASWAMY)

List of Works

1	Dharwar	...	1	Ranebennur	... 13
			2	Shiggaon	8
					21
2	Kolar	...	1	Bagepalli	... 33
			2	Kolar	... 3
			3	Chickballapur	... 1
					37
3	South Kanara	...	1	Puttur	... 3
			2	Belthangady	... 12
			3	Sullia	... 7
					22
4	Raichur	...	1	Kushtagi	... 10
			2	Sindhanur	... 9
			3	Koppal	... 12
			4	Raichur	... 26
			5	Gangavathi	... 10
			6	Yelburga	... 8
			7	Manvi	... 8
					83
5	Belgaum	...		Nil	
6	Bellary	...	1	Bellary	... 4
			2	Sandur	... 20
			3	Hospet	... —
			4	Kudligi	... 67
			5	Mallapur	... 2
			6	Hadagalli	... 31
					124

7	Bidar	...	1 Basavakalyan	...	148
			2 Bidar		49
			3 Humnabad		40
			4 Santhapur		27
					264
8	Coorg	...	Coorg	...	7
9	N. K.	...	Nil.		
10	Tumkur	...	Nil.		
11	Chitradurga	...	1 Chitradurga	...	
			2 Challakere	...	2
			3 Harihar	...	1
			4 Hosadurga	...	19
					23
12	Mandya	...	Nil.		
13	Mysore	...	Nil.		
14	Bijapur	...	Nil.		
15	Bangalore	...	Nil.		
16	Chickmagalur	...	Nil.		
17	Shimoga	...	1 Honnali	...	
			2 Thirthahalli	...	23
					29
18	Hassan	...	Holenarasipur	...	5
19	Gulbarga	...	1 Gulbarga	...	54
			2 Aland		45
			3 Jewargi		73
			4 Afzalpur		25
			5 Sedam		138
			6 Chincholi		48
			7 Chitapur		101
			8 Yadgir		45
			9 Shorapur		67
			10 Shahapur		52
					648

Sri D. B. KALMANKAR.—It is evident that the works in Gulbarga District which are pending for lack of contribution coming forward is 648. Similarly, in the case of Bidar, Raichur and Bellary, a good number of works are pending and it is a fact that the public has not contributed as required under the Act. At the same time, the contractor has to pay, with the result, sub standard work is being done by the Taluk Boards. In view of that, will the Government consider to do away with this public contribution and see that the work done by the Taluka Boards is done efficiently ?

†Sri M. MALLIKARJUNASWAMY.—Let me tell my hos. friend that the cardinal principle of Community Development Programme is that self-help and self-reliance must be there and people's contribution must be there. We cannot scarifice this principle for the sake of this expediency.

Sri D. B. KALMANKAR.—In view of the fact that Gulbarga, Bidar and other areas are in the grip of severe drought conditions, will the Government consider at least to give a go-by to that public conrtibution and see that efficient work is done by these bodies to ameliorate the condition ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆ 5 ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅಭಾವ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಇರುವ ಕಡೆ ಏನು ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೋ ಅದನ್ನು ನಾವು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾಗೋಡುತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪ.—ಹನ್ನೆರಡೂವರೆ ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್ ವಂತಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಯಾವ ನಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಿಯಮ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಅದು ಎನ್. ಇ. ಎಸ್. ವರ್ಕ್ಸ್ ಯಾವುದು ಇದೆಯೋ ಅಂಥಾ ಕಡೆಯೆಲ್ಲಾ ಹನ್ನೆರಡೂವರೆ ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್ ವಂತಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಬೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ಎನ್.ಇ.ಎಸ್. ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು 12½ ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್ ವಂತಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ, ಅವರ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಗ್ರಾಮಾಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಯಾವ ಮಟ್ಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಿದೆ, ಯಾವರೀತಿ ಆಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಎನ್‌ಕ್ವೈರಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಅದು ಬೇರೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ, ಅದನ್ನು ನಂಗ್ರಹ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಬೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಹನ್ನೆರಡೂವರೆ ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್ ವಂತಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ನಿಯಮ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಆ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಆಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ನಿಯಮವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆಯುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಅದು ಬೇರೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ.

Sri D. B. KALMANKAR.—Will the Hon. Minister concede that the works done by the Taluka Boards are definitely of a sub-standard nature when compared to the P.W.D. Works ?

Sri M. MALLIKARJUNASWAMY.—It is not so and in some cases, it is done very well.

Madam SPEAKER.—Now Question Hour is over.